

CMMC Update: Rulemaking & Questions

Current As Of: December 2023

© Copyright 2023. National Defense Industrial Association, Amira Armond, Vince Scott, Ryan Heidorn and Scott Whitehouse. Registered attendees may take notes or create summaries for internal business purposes only, as may members of the media for reporting purposes. No permission is given to resell or redistribute webinar materials, nor to share the link to a recording of the webinar. All other rights are reserved to NDIA.

Sponsored by:



1 Rachel

TODAY'S SPEAKERS





Amira Armond President **Kieri Solutions** Vice Chair, C3PAO Stakeholder



Vince Scott CEO **Defense Cybersecurity Group INFRAGARD** National SME Cyberwarfare



Ryan Heidorn Chief Technology Officer **C3 Integrated Solutions Board Director, NDIA New** England



Scott Whitehouse Director of Compliance Services **C3Integrated Solutions**

Sponsored by:



Forum

Secure Your Networks and Systems In Physical Space and Cyberspace



- Secure your Networks. Now
- Contractual obligation to comply with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-171Rev2
 - Companies not complying sufficiently under current regulation
 - Does not negate obligation to meet the contractual requirements
- CMMC announced Jul '19 -- 3rd Party Assessments to ensure 800-171 implementation in the future
 - CMMC 2.0 announced Nov '21
- DRAFT NIST 800-171Rev3 released 10 May 23
 - Final draft out for comment Closes January 26th 12th
 - NDIA Corporate members can work with the Cybersecurity Division
- CMMC rule with OMB Possible release Friday 22 Dec



Timeline Summary



- 2 Processes running simultaneously and the goal posts appear to be moving
- NIST 800-171 Rev3 Final Comments 12 Jan 26 Jan
 - New (later) estimate on when this will be final from NIST: Spring 2024 (April/May)
 - Some hints / indications of additional / new changes
- CMMC: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NoPRM)
 - Expected any day OMB review complete
 - Delay likely results from slow, bureaucratic process



CMMC Implementation Timeline – Every indication NoPR



- Notice published (indications document more than 200 pages)
 - 2nd hand report: senior DoD official described as DoD's largest, most complex proposed rule
 - 60-day comment period (26 February 2024 if rule released Friday)
- DoD must adjudicate comments
 - Average ~14 months (366 business days) ~19 May 2025
 - May go faster or slower
- DoD sends back to OMB/OIRA for 60-90-day review
- Publication of final rule
 - Q1 CY25 (earliest possible in Vince's view)
 - Likely Q2 CY25 or later



When should companies be targeting assessments?

- CMMC Level 2 assessment: 18-24-month preparation process
- Not simply technical controls
- Must <u>first understand</u> CUI flow (transmit / handle / store) across your environment
- <u>Second</u>, must <u>control</u> with policy, procedural, and technical controls
- <u>Third</u>, must <u>identify</u> and <u>gather</u> evidence & artifacts needed to pass an assessment (non-trivial)
- Assessment timing depends on your <u>readiness</u>, and your <u>risk</u> of losing a contract because you lack certification
- Best Practice: Pick a date and conduct a Mock Assessment



Big Rock Challenges



- Complexity and Cost: Compliance and Certification too difficult as currently defined
- Recommendations
 - DoD work with industry to define moderate, reasonable cybersecurity requirements
 - Discuss and understand compliance mechanism
- Impact: DoD cannot afford to lose companies below Cybersecurity Poverty Line



What the timeline means for large prime contractors





REVIEW SUBCONTRACTOR DATABASE

CONFIRM CMMC READINESS WITH SUBS

REVIEW POAM WITH SUB



What the timeline means for small prime contractors

Identify your subcontractors

How do you interact with them?

Should you flow DFARS 7012 to your sub?







How will you store artifacts of subcontractor assessment?

How will subs be evaluated or onboarded in the future?

Who is responsible for communications when flowing DFARS to a sub?



Subcontractor Responsibilities



Know	Know your SPRS score and its defensibility
Review	Review your contracts with primes
Review	Review your contracts with the Government
Engage	Engage your executives – CMMC cannot be an IT-only initiative



What now? Immediate Actions





Perform a gap assessment

· • •
· · · · · ·

Talk with business stakeholders –

Existing contract renewal timeline Planned contract application(s) Prime/Sub relationships

D	

Review your contracts!

Identify where your contracts stipulate DFARS 7012

This can be prime/subcontracts or with the Government



What now? Mid-Term (By 1 Jan 25)



Read	Read the rule
Execute on	Execute on POAM
Secure/verify	Secure/verify supply chain
Define	Define your timeline for assessment
L	Sponsored by:



Costs – Getting Compliant / <u>Staying Compliant</u>



- For small businesses, <200 employees
- Likely a 6-figure expense annually
 - Cost for 5-person company roughly = to 25-person company
- "Starting from zero" technical implementation:
 - \$80 \$150K if outsourcing
 - Higher if in-sourcing
- Sustainment Budget: ~\$3,500 \$4,000 / per user / per year
 - 5-person to 25-person company: \$87,500 \$100,000
 - IT spend related to compliance, <u>not</u> total company IT spend
- Economies of scale: 50-100+ employees



Costs – Getting Compliant / <u>Staying Compliant</u>



- Non-technical implementation:
 - 1 year to prepare a reasonable estimate
 - ROM: ½ internal FTE = \$30 \$52K minimum
 - Developing / Organizing 250-300 pages of documentation
- Sustainment likely similar to maintain programs required under standards / regulations / contractual obligations
 - Configuration Management, Vulnerability Management, Documentation updates, evidence gathering, annual Basic Self Assessment
- "Getting Compliant" is not an end state
 - NOT one and done
 - Requires ongoing security operations to stay compliant



Costs – 3rd Party Assessment Costs



- Limited data, and dependent on final CMMC rule and CAP
- Paying for assessment team's time
 - Costs will vary based on company size and preparation
 - Best Practices: Document scope; gather/organize objective evidence
- Well-prepared companies with great documentation may have shorter assessments and potentially lower costs
 - Much depends on assessor pricing, which could be Firm Fixed Price
- \$30K likely low end
 - Could increase by \$20K+ if not well-prepared
 - Triennial cost
- Cost of failure outweighs preparation costs for any company with significant DoD revenue
 - Companies with low DoD revenue may "opt out"



Assessments (Initial and Recurring)



- Will there be sufficient assessors?
- Role of Assessor vs role of MSP or Consultant
- Choosing an Assessor
- CMMC Assessment
 - Initial certification preparation & cost
 - Recertification Required every 3 years
 - Staying current with changing requirements
 - New Contracts can drive new cybersecurity requirements, especially if they include requirement to handle new types of information
- Potential for Partnership with 3PAO



Secure Your Networks and Systems In Physical Space and Cyberspace



• Secure your Networks. Now

- Contractual obligation to comply with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-171Rev2
 - Companies not complying sufficiently under current regulation
 - Does not negate obligation to meet the contractual requirements
- CMMC announced Jul '19 -- 3rd Party Assessments to ensure 800-171 implementation in the future
 - CMMC 2.0 announced Nov '21
- Final DRAFT NIST 800-171Rev3 comments due 12 Jan 24
- CMMC rule with OMB
- Communicate with your MSPs/MSSPs/ESPs
 - Be ready for implementation of the final rule





Questions?







• Based on current draft, will CMMC be required for OTA contracts and CRADA or research contracts?



